

Relationship Between Moduli of Smoothness of Function in Two Different Spaces

Zainab Abdulmunim Sharba

Department of Computer Science, College of Science for Women, University of Babylon

zainb_sharba@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper we prove an improved version of P.L .UL'yanov inequality in terms of the fractional order modulus of smoothness of 2π periodic function in different spaces.

Keywords: Direct Theorem, fractional difference, L_p spaces.

الخلاصة

برهنا في هذا البحث احد أنواع مترابحة Ulyano'v بدلالة مقياس النعومة ذي الرتبة الكسرية للدوال دورة 2π في فضاءين مختلفين .
الكلمات المفتاحية: المبرهنة المباشرة، الفرق ذي الرتبة الكسرية، الفضاء L_p .

1- Introduction

In [UL'yanov1968, UL'yanov 1970] UL'yanov proved if $g(x) \in L_p, 1 \leq p < q < \infty$, for any $k \in (0,1]$ then

$$\omega_1(g, k)_q \leq c_1 \left\{ \int_0^k \left(s^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \omega_1(g, s)_p \right)^q \frac{ds}{s} \right\}^{1/q} \quad (1-1)$$

where c_1 is a positive constant does not depend on g and k .

In [Kolyada 1988] Kolyada strength (1-1) and proved if $g(x) \in L_p, 1 < p < q < \infty$, then for any $k \in (0,1]$ we have

$$k^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_k^1 \left(t^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}-1} \omega_1(g, t)_q \right)^p \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/p} \leq c_2 \left\{ \int_0^k \left(t^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \omega_1(g, t)_p \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/q} ,$$

where c_2 is a positive constant does not depend on g and k .

In this article we improve the above inequalities in terms of module of higher orders i.e. we prove these inequalities for $0 < p, q < 1$.Now let us introduce some definitions that can be used in this paper.

Let $L_p[0,2\pi], 0 < p < 1$, be the space of periodic measurable function $g(x)$ with period 2π , under the norm $\|g\|_p = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |g(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$. The β th - difference of $g(x)$ at the point $x, x \in R$ of fractional order β ($\beta > 0$) is given by

$$\Delta_t^\beta g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \binom{\beta}{i} f(x + (\beta - i)t) \text{ [Tikhonov, 2004]}$$

The β th modulus of smoothness in terms of fractional order ($\beta > 0$) is defined by

$$\omega_\beta(g, t)_p = \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^\beta g(x)\| \text{ [Butzer, Dyckhoff and Goerlich 1977]}$$

The K - functional is defined by

$$K(g, t^\beta, L_p, w_p^\beta) = \inf_{f \in w_p^\beta} \left(\|g - f\|_p + \|D_f^\beta\|_p \right) \text{ [Tikhonov 2004]}$$

And $(a_n \downarrow 0), (n \uparrow \infty)$ means a_n is decreasing sequence converge to 0 as n approach to ∞ .

2-The Auxiliary Results

In this section we introduce some results that we need to prove of our Theorem

Lemma 2.1 [Sharba 2012]

$w_\beta(g, \lambda)_p$ is non decreasing non negative function of λ on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} w_\beta(g, \lambda)_p = 0$

Lemma 2.2 [Sharba 2012]

For any $g \in L_p[-1, 1], 0 < p < 1$, we have

$$E_n(g)_p \leq C(p) \omega_r(g, n^{-1})_p n > r$$

Lemma 2.3 [Sharba 2012]

For a function $f \in L_p[a, b], 0 < p < 1$ we have

$$\omega_\beta(f, t)_p \approx K(f, t^\beta, L_p, w_p^\beta)$$

Lemma 2.4 [Nikol'skii 1975]

Let $a_n \geq 0, 0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

Lemma 2.5

Let $g(x) \in L_p[0, 2\pi], 0 < p < 1$ we have

$$E_{2^n}(g)_q \leq c \left(\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} 2^{mq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} E_{2^m}^q(g)_p \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where c is positive constant does not depend on g and n .

Proof:

Using the same way used in Theorem (1) of [Potapov, Simonov and Tikhonov 2008]

Proposition 2.6

Let $f(x) \in L_p[0, 2\pi]$, $0 < p < 1$, $\beta > 0$. Then for any $m \in N$

i) $E_m(f)_p \leq c_3 \omega_\beta \left(f, \frac{1}{m} \right)_p,$

ii) $c_4(m^{-\beta} \|S_m^{(\beta)}(f, x)\|_p + E_m(f)_p) \leq \omega_\beta \left(f, \frac{1}{m} \right)_p \leq c_5(m^{-\beta} \|S_m^{(\beta)}(f, x)\|_p + E_m(f)_p),$

iii) $c_6 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m n^\beta A_n(x) \right\|_p \leq \|S_m^{(\beta)}(f, x)\|_p \leq c_7 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m n^\beta A_n(x) \right\|_p,$

where c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7 are positive constants do not depend on f and m .

Proof (i): Follows directly from Lemma 2.2

Proof (ii): Follows directly from Lemma 2.3 and part (i)

Proof (iii): Follows directly using the hypothesis

$$S_m^{(\beta)}(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-\beta} \epsilon_n A_n(x) \text{ such that } |\epsilon_n| \leq 1$$

$$c_8 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m (n+1)^{-\beta} \epsilon_n A_n(x) \right\|_p \leq \|S_m^{(\beta)}(f, x)\|_p \leq c_9 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m (n+1)^{-\beta} \epsilon_n A_n(x) \right\|_p$$

□

Proposition 2.7

Let $f(x) \in L_p[0, 2\pi]$ $0 < p < 1$, $f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx$, where $a_n \downarrow 0$ ($n \uparrow \infty$). Then

$$c_{10} \left(a_0^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p n^{p-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|f\|_p \leq c_{11} \left(a_0^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p n^{p-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where the positive constants c_{10}, c_{11} do not depend on f .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p &= \left\| \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \right\|_p \\ &= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{a_0}{2} \right|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p |\cos nx|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c(p) \left(|a_0|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \|f\|_p &= \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\cos nx$ is bounded on $[0, 2\pi]$ and $a_n \rightarrow 0$ so it is also bounded and the sum of any two bounded function it is also bounded functions so that

$$\|f\|_p \geq C(p) \left(|a_0|^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p n^{p-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \square$$

3- The main result

In this section we introduce our main results.

Theorem 3.1

Let $g(x) \in L_p, 0 < p < q < 1, \beta > 0, g(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx, a_n n^{\alpha + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \downarrow 0, (n \uparrow \infty)$. Then for any $k \in (0, 1]$ we have :

$$\omega_{\beta}(g, k)_q \leq c_{12} \left\{ \left(\int_0^k t^{-\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \omega_{\beta + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}(g, t)_p \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where c_{12} is a positive constant does not depend on g and k .

Proof:

Using Lemma 2-1 and applying Proposition 2.6 for any $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we get

$$\omega_{\beta}\left(g, \frac{1}{2^n}\right)_q \leq c(q) \omega_{\beta}\left(g, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)_q \leq c(q) \left(2^{-(n+1)\beta} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{2^{n+1}} (n+1)^{-\beta} \epsilon_n A_n(x) \right\|_q + E_{2^{n+1}}(g)_q \right)$$

Using Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{2^{n+1}} (n+1)^{-\beta} \epsilon_n A_n(x) \right\|_q &\leq c(q) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{2^{n+1}} \epsilon_n^q (n+1)^{-\beta q} (n+1)^{q-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c(q) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{2^n} \epsilon_{2^n}^q 2^{-(n+1)\beta q} 2^{(n+1)q(1-\frac{1}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c(p) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{2^n} \epsilon_{2^n}^p 2^{-(n+1)\beta p} 2^{(n+1)p(1-\frac{1}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c(p) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{2^n} \epsilon_n^p (n+1)^{p(\beta + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} (n+1)^{p-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq c(p) \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{2^n} (n+1)^{\beta + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \epsilon_n A_n(x) \right\|_p \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\sum_{n=1}^{2^n}} \right\} (3-1)$$

Combining estimates in Lemma (2.5) and (3-1) and applying Proposition 2.6 we obtain

$$\omega_{\beta}\left(g, \frac{1}{2^n}\right)_q \leq c(p) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{2^n} 2^{(n+1)q\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \omega_{\beta+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}^q\left(g, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)_p \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

Using properties of integral we finally get:

$$\omega_{\beta}(g, k)_q \leq c(p) \left\{ \int_0^k \left(t^{-\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \omega_{\beta+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}^q(g, t)_p \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

Thus the proof is complete.

References

- Butzer, P.L.; H. Dychhoff and E. Goerlich, R.L. Stens, 1977, "Best Trigonometric Approximation Fractional Order Derivatives and Lipschitz Classes". *Canda. J. Math.* 29, 781-793.
- Kolyada, V.I. 1988, "On Relations Between Moduli of Continuity in Different Metrics", *Trudy Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* 181, 117-136.
- Nikol'skii S.M. 1975, "Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and the Embedding Theorems" Springer, Berlin.
- Potapov, M.K.; B.V. Simonov and S.Yu. Tikhonov, 2008 "An Inequality of P.L. Ulyanov" *Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin* Vol 36, No3, 33-36.
- Sharba, Z.A. 2012, "On Moduli of Smoothness of Fractional Order", MSC thesis, University of Babylon, Iraq.
- Tikhonov, S. 2004, "On Moduli of Smoothness of Fractional Order", *Real Analysis Exchanges* Vol. 30(2), 507-518.
- Ulyanov P.L. (1968) "The Embedding of Certain Function Classes H_p^w ", *Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. Mat.* 32, 649-680.
- Ulyanov P.L. (1970) "Embedding Theorems and Relations Between Best Approximation (Moduli of Continuity in Different Metrics)", *Math. of the USSR-Sbornik*, 10(1):103-126.